

Analysis in Relation to Demographic Characteristics of Teaching Effectiveness of Secondary School Teachers

Rakesh Kumar David, Dr. Jai Prakash Tripathi

Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore
Dean, MBA, Sri Satya Sai University of Technology & Medical Sciences, Sehore
Corresponding Author: Rakesh Kumar David

Theoretical: *The present paper manages showing adequacy of optional teachers and their connection with statistic attributes i.e. sexual orientation, social foundation, classification, conjugal status, showing subjects, age, capability and school showing background of auxiliary teachers. The destinations of the exploration were to contemplate statistic qualities of optional teachers and their connection with various measurements i.e. Information, Organization, Leading, Professionalism, Clarity and Presentation, and Enthusiasm of instructing viability. The information was gathered from 100 auxiliary teachers at Patna area in Bihar through Survey cum Explorative distinct research technique. The Self developed –Teaching viability poll was utilized to gather the information with some factual procedures i.e. mean, standard deviation, t-test, and connection were utilized for factual examination of the Data. Result demonstrates that the statistic attributes (social foundation, conjugal status, school showing background, showing subjects and capability) of optional teachers were affected on various measurements of their showing viability of auxiliary teachers.*

Key terms: *Teaching Effectiveness, Secondary School Teachers, Demographic Characteristics, Dimensions.*

Date of Submission: 28-07-2017

Date of acceptance: 25-08-2017

I. Presentation

The part of the educator in any general public is one of a kind, noteworthy and extremely essential. Educators are the most imperative factor in teaching the future era. A Nation is made incredible by its educators. The nature of educators to a huge degree relies on the nature of instructing. At the foundation of educator lies the learning, unless an instructor is not willing to learn. It is best communicated by Rabindra Nath Tagore in these words, "An educator can never genuinely instruct unless he is as yet learning himself. A Lamp can never light another light. Unless it nonstop to consume its own fire".

A school may have astounding material assets, equipment's, building, library and different offices alongside a curricular suitably received to suit the group require yet in the event that educator are not powerful and proficient and they are loner, unsatisfied and unconcerned with their obligations the entire program is probably going to be insufficient and squandered. Training Commission (1964-66)* had watched that "of all the diverse variables which impact the nature of instruction and its commitment to the national improvement and the viability of instructors and their character are without a doubt the most huge". The educators need to suit themselves to the honorable calling and mission esteemed by the nation. To do as such, educators must be productive and viable. Barr (1952) explains the educator viability as a connection between instructor, students and alternate people worried about the instructive undertaking". So instructor adequacy is an imperative and correlated issue.

Variety (1982)9 states, "instructor viability must be characterized, and can just the evaluated, as far as practices' and learning of understudies, not conduct of educators". Exchanges, considerations and investigations concerning instructor viability request massive significance in the field of training. In the meantime the issue of ID of successful educator is along these lines of prime significance for making an interpretation of alluring instructive objectives into reality. The present study look into has been endeavored to ponder the showing adequacy of the optional teachers in Patna region in Bihar and the impact of their statistic attributes on their instructing viability. Here the specialist recognized six measurements (Knowledge, Organization, Leading, Professionalism, Clarity and Presentation, and Enthusiasm) of showing Effectiveness of Teachers with the end goal of the examination. The statistic Characteristics of auxiliary teachers incorporated the Gender, Social Background, Category, Marital Status, Teaching Subjects, Age, Qualification, and School Teaching Experiences of educators.

II. Destinations Of The Study

Keeping in perspective of the definition of the issue for the present examination, the accompanying targets were surrounded.

1. To think about statistic attributes of Secondary teachers.
2. To ponder statistic attributes savvy impression of Secondary teachers with references to their educating viability.

III. Theory Of The Study

1. There is no critical contrast between statistic attributes of Secondary School Teachers with reference to their instructing viability.

This speculation is isolated into following sub theory

- a) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Knowledge measurement.
- b) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Organization measurement.
- c) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Leadership measurement.
- d) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Professionalism measurement.
- e) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Clarity and Presentation measurement.
- f) There is no noteworthy distinction between statistic attributes of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Enthusiasm measurement.

IV. Delimitations

The examination is limited just to auxiliary teachers of Patna region as it were.

Survey of Related writing

To improve the nature of data about showing adequacy, Bill and Melinda Gates (2009)² establishments propelled the two-year measures of successful educating (MET) venture to thoroughly create and test numerous measures of instructor viability.

Centra (1993)³Characterizes compelling educating as great association of topic and course, powerful correspondence, information of and excitement for the topic and showing uplifting state of mind toward understudies, reasonableness in examinations and evaluating and adaptability to approach instructing . Goals of the investigation of

Khan S.H, and Saeed Muhammad (June 2009)⁸ were to discover the Effectiveness of Pre-benefit Teacher Education Program (B.Ed) in Pakistan: The discoveries of the examination uncover two noteworthy conclusions. In the first place, the B.Ed pre-benefit instructor training program at UE (college of training) is generally better in the educational programs content, ranges of lesson arranging, lesson introduction, and appraisal abilities. Second, female graduates were moderately more happy with their male partner concerning the significance and adequacy of B.Ed program.

The targets of the investigation of Rahman f, Jumani N.B, AkhterYasmin (march2011)¹⁴ were to evaluate the preparation abilities of female instructors to look at the mentality of prepared female educators towards instructing and to decide adequacy of educating as far as understudy accomplishment. The discoveries of the examination were that the Teachers had an inspirational state of mind towards educator preparing and its viability in classroom circumstance including genuine direction/scholastic work, classroom administration, assessment methods, assignments, and creating human associations with understudies, central, and society when all is said in done. It was reasoned that instructor preparing was decidedly identified with successful educating. Rudduck and shudder (2004)¹⁶ inferred that understudy see great instructors as:- human, available, solid and industrious, conscious of understudies and touchy of their troubles eager and positive, and professionally gifted.

Ralph (2003)¹⁵ led an examination on showing adequacy utilizing how well understudies learn as the paradigm. Ralph reasoned that "commendable educating is identifiable and the nature of its constituent segments can be evaluated".

(Dividers, 1999)²¹ compresses the most common suggestions from the educating viability investigate writing. They are the most grounded interfaces between what educators can do and the discovering that understudies accomplish. The four evaluations of compelling educating are Clarity, Outcomes, Engagement, and Enthusiasm.

James H. Stronge (2002)²⁰ depicts that the characteristics of Effective Teachers are part of Caring, tuning in, understanding and Knowing Students effortlessly.

An investigation led by Jirovec et al. (1998)⁷ on social work understudies, uncovered that the teacher's aptitude in evaluating was recognized as one of the measurements of educating viability. Understudies were made a request to finish an instrument intended to quantify instructing capacity.

The parts of showing adequacy agreeing Muijs D and Reynolds D(2005)¹² incorporate Having an inspirational mentality, the improvement of a charming social/mental atmosphere in the classroom, having exclusive requirements of what students can accomplish, lesson lucidity, compelling time administration ,solid lesson organizing.

Laura Goe (2008)⁵ abridged an expansive class of instructor assessment instruments used to evaluate educator adequacy incorporating (a) classroom perceptions, (b) central assessment, (c) instructional ancient rarity, (d) portfolio, (e) instructor self-report measure, (f) understudy study, and (h) esteem included model (p. 15).

Four subjective segments of viability as per Clark⁴ of an educator are Knowledge, Organization of guideline, Quality of introduction, Clarity of articulation.

Rosenshine and Furst (1973)¹⁷ depict the measurements of showing viability are as Clarity, Variability, Enthusiasm, Task Oriented, Student Opportunity to learn model material.

As per Kwon (1994)¹⁰ a compelling educator has the accompanying qualities, Responsibility, Competency, Knowledge capacity, Professionalism, Honesty, Reliability, Intelligence, Trustworthiness, and Willingness to buckle down.

In General showing fitness scales (GTCS) by B.K. PASSI and M.S. LALITHA¹³ there are 21 things identified with 21 showing aptitudes which envelop the whole instructing learning process in the classroom. They are identified with five noteworthy part of classroom educating, in particular arranging, introduction, shutting, Evaluation and administrative.

Sherman (1987)¹⁹ distinguish educators qualities, some of which are like those were said by Centra: Enthusiasm, Clarity, Preparation and association, Stimulation, Knowledge.

TECHNIQUE

Review cum explorative unmistakable research technique was utilized under the proposed think about.

POPULACE

The number of inhabitants in the proposed contemplate contained all optional teachers, in Patna locale in Bihar.

TEST AND SAMPLING TECHNIQUE.

The example comprised of 100 optional teachers. The example was a delegate test since auxiliary teachers were chosen utilizing stratified inspecting system.

Factors 3 (age, sexual orientation, status, training, geological area, length of administration).

TOOL

The questionnaire which was used in the study is self construct by the investigator. After studied many reviews and articles on different aspects of teaching effectiveness, the investigator described the Following dimensions of teaching effectiveness.

(A)Knowledge	3, 4,10,19,20
(B)Organization	3,4,11,12,19,21
(C)Leadership	10, 12,13,16,19
(D)Professionalism	10,12,16,20
(E)Enthusiasm	3, 10, 16,17,19,21
(F)Clarity and presentation	4, 8, 12, 13,17,19,21

Statistical Techniques

Mean, standard deviation, t-test, were used for statistical analysis of the data.

Examination And Translation

1.1. Optional teachers' statistic attributes in connection to their instructing adequacy. Contingent on the statistic foundation, the conclusion communicated by the optional teachers' with reference to showing adequacy may shift starting with one educator then onto the next. In this way it is important to comprehend the statistic attributes which impact the auxiliary instructors' conclusion and recognition. For this reason the accompanying eight viewpoints identified with statistic profile of instructors were chosen and investigated.

Measurements Of Educating Adequacy

Statistic qualities of optional teachers in connection to their showing adequacy are likewise controlled by breaking down their observation with reference to each of the six measurements (Knowledge, Organization, Leading, Professionalism, Clarity and Presentation, and Enthusiasm) of the showing Effectiveness of Teachers distinguished with the end goal of the examination. There is no importance contrast between statistic attributes of optional teachers with references to their educating adequacy.

V. Results And Discussion

Scores said in the table-.2 show that the view of optional teachers with reference to Knowledge Dimensions of showing Effectiveness shift essentially regarding their social foundation and school showing background yet does not change fundamentally as for their sexual orientation, class, conjugal status, age, capability, educating subjects. Thus on the premise of results acquired, it is gathered that social foundation, have impact on the impression of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Knowledge. Urban Teachers have more elevated amount of recognition than rustic Teachers with reference to their Knowledge. Optional teachers having School showing background of over 3 years have more elevated amount of recognition than auxiliary teachers having up to 3 years School showing knowledge with reference to their Knowledge. Scores specified in the table .3 demonstrate that the view of auxiliary teachers with reference to Organization measurements differ altogether as for their conjugal status and showing subjects yet does not shift essentially concerning their sexual orientation, social foundation, classification, age, capability, school instructing background. Henceforth on the premise of results acquired, it is derived that conjugal status and showing subjects have impact on the impression of optional teachers with reference to their Organization. Unmarried Teachers have more elevated amount of discernment than wedded Teachers with reference to their Organization. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have more elevated amount of recognition than science instructors with reference to their Organization. Scores said in the table-.4 show that the view of auxiliary teachers with reference to Leading measurements differ fundamentally as for their showing subjects however does not shift essentially regarding their sexual orientation, social foundation, classification, conjugal status, age, capability, school educating background. Consequently on the premise of results acquired, it is derived that showing subjects has impact on the impression of optional teachers with reference to their Leading. Expressions and Commerce stream instructors have more elevated amount of discernment than science stream educators with reference to their Leading.

Scores specified in the table-.5 demonstrate that the view of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Professionalism measurements shift fundamentally as for their social foundation and capability however does not fluctuate altogether as for their sexual orientation, class, conjugal status, showing subjects, age and school instructing knowledge. Consequently on the premise of results acquired, it is derived that social foundation and capability have impact on the view of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Professionalism measurements. Urban Teachers have more elevated amount of observation than rustic Teachers with reference to their Professionalism. Post graduate Teachers have larger amount of observation than graduate Teachers with reference to their Professionalism. Scores said in the table-6 demonstrate that the impression of auxiliary teachers with reference to Clarity and Presentation measurements change essentially as for their showing subjects however does not fluctuate altogether concerning their sexual orientation ,social foundation, class, conjugal status, age, capability and school educating knowledge,. Consequently on the premise of results acquired, it is gathered that showing subjects has impact on the impression of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Clarity and Presentation measurements. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have more elevated amount of observation than science stream instructors with reference to their Clarity and Presentation. Scores specified in the table-.7 demonstrate that the impression of auxiliary teachers with reference to Enthusiasm measurements shift essentially as for their showing subjects and school showing knowledge yet does not fluctuate fundamentally concerning their sexual orientation, social foundation, classification, conjugal status, capability and age. Consequently on the premise of results got, it is surmised that showing subjects and school showing background have impact on the impression of optional teachers with reference to their Enthusiasm measurements. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have more elevated amount of observation than science stream instructors with reference to their Enthusiasm measurements. Auxiliary teachers

having School showing knowledge of over 3 years have more elevated amount of discernment than optional teachers having upto 3 years School showing background with reference to their Enthusiasm.

VI. Discoveries And Conclusions

From the above discoveries, the accompanying conclusions were drawn –

1. The social foundation and School showing encounters were affected on the showing viability of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Knowledge. Urban instructors have more elevated amount than provincial educators. Optional teachers having School showing knowledge of over 3 years have larger amount of observation than auxiliary teachers having up to 3 years School educating background.

2. Conjugal status and showing subjects were affected on the showing adequacy of optional teachers with reference to their Organization. Unmarried educators have more elevated amount than wedded instructors. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have larger amount of showing viability than science instructors with reference to their Organization.

3. Showing subjects were affected on the showing adequacy of auxiliary teachers with reference to their Leading, Expressions and Commerce stream

instructors have more elevated amount than science stream educators with reference to their Leading.

4. Social foundation and capability were impacted on the showing viability of optional teachers with reference to their Professionalism measurements. Urban educators have more elevated amount than provincial instructors. Post graduate educators have more elevated amount than graduate instructors with reference to their Professionalism.

5. Showing subjects were impacted on the showing viability of optional teachers with reference to their Clarity and Presentation measurements. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have more elevated amount than science stream instructors.

6. Showing subjects and school showing background were impacted on the showing viability of optional teachers with reference to their Enthusiasm measurements. Expressions and Commerce stream educators have larger amount than science stream instructors. Instructors having School showing background of over 3 years have more elevated amount than optional teachers having upto 3 years School showing knowledge with reference to their Enthusiasm.

VII. Instructive Implication

The present examination highlighted that the statistic attributes of optional teachers were impacted on various measurements of their educating adequacy. The social foundation was affected on the Knowledge and Professionalism measurements of showing viability of optional teachers. School showing background was affected on the Knowledge and Enthusiasm measurements of showing adequacy of auxiliary teachers. Conjugal status was affected on the Organization measurement of showing adequacy of optional teachers. Showing subjects were impacted on the Organization, Leading, Clarity and Presentation and Enthusiasm measurements of showing adequacy of optional teachers. Social foundation and capability were impacted on the Professionalism measurement of showing adequacy of auxiliary teachers.

VIII. Recommendations For Further Research

1. The investigation could be reached out to different parts of nation and diverse evaluations of instructive establishments i.e. the essential, senior optional or even at the school level.

2. It is attractive for investigating the relationship of educator viability with establishments variable to look at changed educational systems, for example, government and private, Navodya and Central, and Open and Private, on the wonder examined in this examination.

3. Studies could be intended to discover and distinguish the hindrances and cures of instructing adequacy.

4. The educator adequacy is generally in light of the self view of instructors. Learning results could be a valuable measure of educator viability, and consequently an examination could be led to discover the connection between instructor adequacy and understudy accomplishment.

References

- [1]. Barr, A.S. (1952). The Measurement of Teacher Characteristics and Prediction of Teacher Efficiency, *Review of Educational Research*, 22,169-174.
- [2]. Bill, Gates Melinda (Sep. 2010). Student perceptions and the MET Project Measures of Effective Teaching Project.
- [3]. Centra, J. A. (1993). *Reflective faculty evaluation: Enhancing teaching and determining faculty effectiveness*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- [4]. Clark, J. (1995). Suggestions for effective university teaching. Retrieved on April 24, 2007 from <http://io.uwinnipeg.ca/~clark/acad/teach/effteach.html>.
- [5]. Goe L, Bell C, & Little, O (2008). Approaches to evaluating teacher effectiveness, a research synthesis. Washington, DC: National comprehensive centre for teacher quality. Retrieved March 3, 2009. Pp 6-13.
- [6]. Jerome G. Delaney (2010). How High School Students Perceive Effective Teachers. Faculty of Education, Memorial University of Newfoundland. 3-7.
- [7]. Jirovec, R.L., Ramanathan, C.S. & Alvarez, A.R. (1998). Course evaluations: what are social work students telling about teaching effectiveness? *Journal of social Work Education*, 34, 229-236.
- [8]. Khan S.H, & Saeed Muhammad (June 2009, pp. 83-98, Vol. 31, No.1), 98 Effectiveness of Pre-service Teacher Education Programme (B.Ed) in Pakistan:
- [9]. Knox, J.E. & Mogan, J. (1985). Important clinical teacher behaviours as perceived by university nursing faculty, students and graduates. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 10, 25-30.
- [10]. Kwon, Y.H. (1994). The Influence of appropriateness of dress and gender on the self perception of occupational attributes. *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, 12 (3), 33-39.
- [11]. Medley, D.M. (1982). Teacher Effectiveness in H.E. Mitzel (Ed.) *Encyclopaedia of Educational Research*, 5/e. New York: The Free Press.
- [12]. Muijs D & Reynolds D (2005) 'Effective Teaching - Introduction & Conclusion' 2nd edition. London: Sage Publications.
- [13]. Passi, B.K. and Lalitha M.S (1994). *General Teaching competency scales (GTCS) {a classroom observation schedule}*. Published at National Psychological Corporation, Agra.
- [14]. Rahman f, Jumani N.B, Akhter Yasmin (march 2011), Relationship between Training of Teachers and Effectiveness Teaching *International Journal of Business and Social Science* Vol. 2 No. 4, www.ijbssnet.com.
- [15]. Ralph, E.G. (2003). *Effective college teaching: Fresh insights and exemplary practices*. New York: Nova Science.
- [16]. Rudduck, J. & Flutter, J. (2004). *How to improve your school*. London: Continuum.
- [17]. Rosenshine, B., & Furst, N. (1973). Research on teacher performance criteria. In B.O. Smith (Ed.), *Research in teacher education: A symposium*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- [18]. **Scales for Effective Teaching** S.E.T. (S.E.T, July 2009-10) - Idaho State Department of... <http://www.sde.idaho.gov/site/teacherEval/docs/LEA%20PDF%20Teach%20Eval%20Plans/North%20Gem%20School%20District%20149.pdf>
- [19]. Sherman, T. M. (1987). The quest for excellence in university teaching, *Journal of Higher Education*. 58, 66-84.
- [20]. Stronge, J.H. (2002). *Qualities of effective teachers*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Division.
- [21]. Walls, R.T. (1999). *Psychological foundations of learning*. Morgantown, WV: WVU International Centre for Disability Information.

Rakesh Kumar David. "Analysis in Relation to Demographic Characteristics of Teaching Effectiveness of Secondary School Teachers." *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME)*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2017, pp. 41-46.